

[Download ebook] Beatles-Discography.com: Day-By-Day Song-By-Song Record-By-Record

# Beatles-Discography.com: Day-By-Day Song-By-Song Record-By-Record

*Craig Cross*

*audiobook / \*ebooks / Download PDF / ePub / DOC*



DOWNLOAD



READ ONLINE

#14660898 in Books 2004-03Original language:EnglishPDF # 1 1.33 x 5.48 x 8.50l, #File Name: 0595314872508 pages | File size: 53.Mb

**Craig Cross : Beatles-Discography.com: Day-By-Day Song-By-Song Record-By-Record** before purchasing it in order to gage whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised Beatles-Discography.com: Day-By-Day Song-By-Song Record-By-Record:

4 of 4 people found the following review helpful. Enjoyable (as far as it goes!)By Frank MHad I discovered this book on the racks of a bookstore, I would have placed it near the top of the Beatles reference books in my library.

Unfortunately, I found it through the website by the same name as the book's title. A fantastic, richly informed website! And therein, lies my problem with the book. It pales in comparison with the wealth of info in the website. Since the site says "If you like this website, buy the book!", I naturally assumed I'd be getting the same material, making the book on a par with the excellent Lewisohn and Spizer reference texts. First, the positive: It's an informative, enjoyable read with a light, almost irreverent, conversational style. It is filled with quotes from a variety of sources that gives a fresh flavor to otherwise well-known Beatles facts. The negatives fall into four main categories: [1] There are a distressingly high number of typographical errors. It's almost as if the book was written before spell-check was invented! Example: The Beatles' meditation trip to Bangor, Wales -- "Bangor" is misspelled "Bagnor" more often than it's spelled correctly. [2] There are no photos! The book is text from cover to cover, unlike the website which has a stunning collection of photos. [3] There is no index, so if you want to read about Astrid Kirchherr and her relationship with the Beatles, for example, you better know where and what year they met. [4] The info in the "Day-by-Day" and "Song-by-Song" sections is incomplete, sometimes severely so. For example, there are discussions of 372 songs on the website, but only 241 in the book! I bought the book primarily for this info, so I was sorely disappointed in the omissions. To be fair, the website does not state that the book is an exact repro of the site, but the implication is there. If it had included the 131 omitted songs, I would have given this book 5 stars, even with the other problems noted. Despite all this, it's still a very enjoyable reference. But **BE FOREWARNED**: it is a selective representation of the website. Very good -- as far as it goes. 1 of 1 people found the following review helpful. Maybe Not Perfect But Close By Gonzo The book is jam packed with all kinds of info. In response to the other review: I think the main problem is the website [beatles-discography.com](http://beatles-discography.com) got so huge so quick that constant updating resulted in some stuff not being included in the book. But the omissions that I've found are really obscure ones. Probably stuff that most authors would skip over because the songs are like non-existent. Like maybe a bootlegged unreleased song from a session or something almost irrelevant to a discography. So perhaps a revised edition could only satisfy the most hardcore Beatle fanatics that want to know things like how many nose hairs John Lennon had in his left nostril on March 12th 1964, but for any sane individual this book does the job nicely and it's a bit more entertaining than a list type discography because you get to read all about the songs and stuff. AND it fits nicely in a backpack which means you can take it camping with you! :) 0 of 0 people found the following review helpful. Good material By Blues Bro If you have been in the website, you know this is good stuff. Incomplete, unfortunately and also it doesn't do a good job in discussing the differences between different pressings, mono/stereo, etc. Most of the time you have to 'assume' they are talking about the current CD's, which is not entirely the right thing to do or the best version of the albums.